## **Informal Consultation Responses**

## **Informal Consultation Stanley Mews**

Street	Yes	No	Comments	
8 Stanley Street	YES		We are the owners of no 8 Stanley Street. Please do not restrict access to our back gate, thank you.	
2 Stanley Street	YES			
2 Stanley Mews	YES		This has to be done. 100% in favour.	
15 Walpole Street	YES		This will make us feel much safer in our home. And hopefully keep the needle users away, and prevent it being used as a toilet.	
3 Walpole Street	YES			
5 Walpole Street	Yes			
17 Walpole Street	YES		We fully support the proposed Gating Order as there have been incidents in the alley directly behind our property, including people urinating and defecating and there has also been drug paraphernalia left in the alley. We feel that as the other alleys in the area are gated, anybody wishing to engate in anti social behaviour is drawn to the alleys behind our properties on Walpole Street. We are aware that other properties adjacent to Walpole Street have been victims of burglary, and we find it worrying that as our property is vacant while we are out at work, that people have access to the alley to engage in anti social behaviour.	
19 Walpole Street	YES		I believe this will halt the majority of the problems we are currently experiencing of the pedestrian alley being used as toilets, rubbish being left, drinkers using the alleys to hide away. I want to be able to feel safe to use these alleys to get to and from work on my bicycle.	
11 Walpole Street	YES		It wouldn't be acceptable if the alternative bin arrangements meant having to pull the wheelie bin through the house.	
7 Warwick Street	YES		Although fully in support of the alleygating in view of the fact that it will hopefully reduce ASB I would like to know how you are going to position the proposed gate directly at the back of my property and whether or not this will restrict access to my back gate as I use it to get my bike in and out. Also I would like to know what the council proposes to do about the ASB that goes on directly at my back wall ie the human waste that is often left as none of the proposed gates will restrict property as you are not proposing the gate both Stanley Mews and Warwick ends of the alley just Stanley Mew ends and the small alleys. I am aware that the Mews properties need vehicular access but can that allow this not be placed at either end of the alley? That way all ASB that goes on in the alley can be stopped and not just some of it as coming out of your house to human waste is not nice.	gates and bike, but received no response
5 Warwick Street	YES			
66 Haxby Road	YES		Recently there has been an increase in crime in the area, particularly thefts from the rear of the property. I believe that gating the alleyway will improve the situation and deter thieves.	
64 Haxby Road	Yes			

13 replies from 46 letters sent

No objections

Informal Consultation Responses	Comments	
David Nunns	You consulted on a scheme for this area this over 6 years ago. The Back Lane behind Stanley Street, now called Stanley Mews, is a through road and we trust full width gates will be provided to allow access from either end should the scheme go ahead. We are not shown the data behind this proposed scheme in respect of recent crime and anti-social behaviour, so cannot comment as to whether the requirements of the legislation is met. We understand the reason you are not proposing a gate next to 9 Warwick Street is that this would stop delivery of Post and other items to the new properties at 1/2 Stanley Mews. This makes the scheme less attractive for people living at 10-22 Stanley Street. It is also less attractive for people living in 5-21 Walpole Street & 1-7 Warwick Street. If one considers the 3 gates you are now proposing (SE 6062 5301, SE 6060 5303 & SE 6058 5302), you may care to suggest that the residents of Stanley Mews should add some trellis or railings to the low wall on their northern boundary, to reduce the likelihood of people climbing this low, thus making the scheme more effective for these houses and those at the western end of the Lane.	
City Fibre	Location: Stanley Mews, York, 460622,453016. You recently requested information pertaining to the above location and in relation to CityFibre Holdings Ltd plant. I can confirm that at this current time we <b>DO HAVE PLANT</b> which may be affected by your proposed works SEE ATTACHED DRAWING. Due to the nature of our works this could change dependent on the roll out of the programmes. The validity of this response is 6 weeks, after such time a new enquiry would need to be made.	
Yorkshire Water	I have received your notification regarding proposals for gating the alleyways off Guildhall, York. Yorkshire Water have no clean water apparatus which is likely to be affected by the proposed gating of Stanley Mews.	
Atkins/Vodaphone	Please accept this email as confirmation that Vodafone: Fixed <u>does</u> have apparatus within the vicinity of your proposed works detailed below. Please see attached network information.	
Police	Thank you for your correspondence with regards to the City of York Councils proposals to gate off alleyways to the rear of Stanley Street and Warwick Street, York. I have studied the proposals and on behalf of the Chief Officer of the North Yorkshire Police offer the following observations; No comment	
KCOM/Kingston Infrastructure	With regards to your request for details of existing services in the area, we can confirm that based on the details provided to us, we have no buried plant or equipment in the identified area.	
Harrogate	I have now viewed this application and can advise that we have no objections or observations to	
Bridleways	make.	
Northern Power	Plans received.	
Grid		